

Your comments are encouraged and appreciated, as this will provide us an opportunity to address project issues and concerns.

## Utility Access Route between Glenwood Drive and Peartree Court Environmental Assessment PUBLIC INFORMATION CENTRE June 10<sup>th</sup>, 2021









## **STUDY AREA**

## The study area is between Glenwood Drive and Peartree Court, along a series of City maintained sanitary and storm sewers.















# **STUDY PURPOSE / PROBLEM DEFINITION**



The sewer network from Glenwood Drive to Peartree Court extends through a natural environment setting which has overgrown since construction. This limits opportunities for the City to undertake regular maintenance, inspection, or emergency repairs.

This study is being carried out to identify constraints on access, potential future risks, and ultimately provide a formal access to City's sewer infrastructure.

# VITUAL PUBLIC INFORMATION CENTRE PURPOSE

## This Virtual Public Information Centre (PIC) is Designed to:

## To gain community input on:

- •







The Wastewater Collection system, including sanitary and storm sewers, are valuable assets

• Present information on existing conditions • Present alternative access options Present study process and timelines

Existing conditions information

Identification of opportunities and constraints

Alternative evaluation criteria and scoring

Selection of preferred solutions













## MUNICIPAL CLASS **ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT PROCESS**

Many projects related to municipal systems are similar in nature, are carried out routinely, and have predictable and mitigatable environmental effects which are investigated according to the Municipal Engineers Association "Municipal Class Environmental Assessment" (October 2000, as amended in 2007 & 2011).

This study is being undertaken as a Schedule B project under the Municipal Class Environmental Assessment process. The flow chart illustrates the key steps to be undertaken as part of the EA process.





## **CLASS EA PROCESS - SCHEDULE B**





**Utility Access Route between Glenwood Drive to Peartree Court Environmental Assessment** 

#### Phase 2 – Alternate Solutions

Identify Alternative Solutions

Inventory Natural, Social, Economic Environment

**Environmental Impacts and Mitigation** 

**Review Agency and Public Consultation** 

**Select Preferred Solution** 

Review and Confirm Choice of Schedule

Notice of Completion to Review Agency & Public







# **EXISTING CONDITIONS**





A. City right of way west of St. Peter's School looking towards Glenwood Drive

B. Steep slope along sanitary sewer easement from Glenwood Drive into valley





**Utility Access Route between Glenwood Drive to Peartree Court Environmental Assessment** 



C. Previous emergency erosion protection for exposed sanitary sewer and manhole (2019)



D. Previous emergency erosion protection for exposed sanitary manhole







# **EXISTING CONDITIONS**





E. Existing CSP culvert over sanitary sewer crossing



F. Sanitary manhole in close proximity to existing watercourse





**Utility Access Route between Glenwood Drive to Peartree Court Environmental Assessment** 



#### G. Vegetation overgrown within sanitary sewer easement limiting maintenance vehicle access



H. Sanitary manhole within private property, looking toward Peartree Court







# **EXISTING UTILITIES**

| Utility        | Sanitary Sewer                       | Storm Sewer                | Culvert                   |  |  |
|----------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|
| Size           | 900 – 1050mm                         | 525mm                      | 1500mm                    |  |  |
| Length         | 550m                                 | 205m                       | 20m                       |  |  |
| Material       | Asbestos Cement                      | Concrete                   | Corrugated Steel          |  |  |
| Year           | 1962                                 | 1974                       | 1962                      |  |  |
| Infrastructure | 8 x Manholes                         | 2 x Manholes<br>1 x Outlet | Stone retaining structure |  |  |
| Easement       | City Permanent Easement,<br>15m wide |                            |                           |  |  |

- These utilities, including sewers and manholes require regular inspection, maintenance, and emergency flushing.
- A stable access road that can be use by vehicles ranging from activities.

pickup trucks to flusher truck is necessary to perform such







**Utility Access Route between Glenwood Drive to Peartree Court Environmental Assessment** 



An example of flusher truck that City uses.



# TERRESTRIAL ECOLOGY

Ecological Land Classification (ELC) is a standard practice used to describe, identify, classify and map vegetation communities on the landscape.

In total, 4 vegetation communities are identified within the study area. Community types ranged from lowland forests to woodlands, including various species from deciduous to coniferous.

Particularly, multiple Oaks of large size, indicating an age of greater than 100 years, were observed within the Dry White Oak Woodland community (WODM3-3) – southwest extent of the study area.



WODM4-4 (CUW1): Dry – Fresh Black Walnut Deciduous Woodland Type











**Utility Access Route between Glenwood Drive to Peartree Court Environmental Assessment** 

WODM3-3 (CUW1): Dry White Oak Woodland Type





# **VEGETATION COMMUNITY CLASSIFICATION**







9

# VASCULAR PLANTS

- Native Species: 55 (42.3%)
- Introduced Species: 34 (26.2%)
- Species identified only to genus : 16 (26.2%)

- Species at Risk: 1
  - Butternut, Juglans cinerea (Endangered)
- Provincially Rare Species: 2
  - Pignut Hickory, Carya glabra (S3)
  - Honey Locust, Gleditsia triacanthos (S2)
  - Regionally Rare Species: 9



Virginia Stickseed (Hackelia virginiana) – Uncommon in Brant County

A total of 130 Vascular plant species have been identified, including:

Significance of the identified species are as per the following:



Pignut Hickory (Carya glabra) – S3; Rare in Brant County





**Utility Access Route between Glenwood Drive to Peartree Court Environmental Assessment** 



Butternut (Juglans cinerea) – Endangered, Species at Risk

10

# TREE INVENTORY

2020, and January 2021.



## A comprehensive tree inventory was completed for the study area by Aquafor in September and October

Trees greater than 10cm diameter were inventoried in proximity to the sanitary and storm sewers. A total of 281 trees were identified, consisting of 22 species.

Removal of trees may be required to accommodate access road construction. In addition, removal of trees growing directly above the underground sewers are recommended in order to limit root intrusion and groundwater infiltration into the sanitary sewer.

However, compensation for the removal of trees will be provided in accordance with City of Brantford's and Grand River Conversation standards.







## The study assessed aquatic habitat and fishery within the small tributary of Grand River to define existing conditions:

- Drive.
- The creek maintains minimal flow under low flow conditions upstream of confluence.
- minimal as overhanging riparian cover.
- of which grate has been blocked by woody debris.
- overall aquatic habitat within the study area.



• The tributary mostly receives discharges from the stormwater outlets behind St. Peters School and Brantford Christian School, and then confluences with another storm channel off Glenwood

Instream Vegetation was generally absent within the tributary, and

 Number of minor and major fish barrier(s) were observed throughout the study area, such as the culvert inlet at Beach Road

• Although the project is not intended to alter the existing creek, the proposed access route is expected to be in close proximity and/or cross the creek. In turn, There are opportunities to improve the









# **EVALUATION CRITERIA**

#### There are four alternative approaches being considered for this project:

- 1. Do Nothing
- 2. Access Road from Both Ends with A Turn-Around

The following criteria will be used to evaluate each alternative to determine the preferred route for the access road between Glenwood Drive and Peartree Court. The evaluation uses a normalized ranking scheme to provide equal weighting for each category of evaluation criteria. A ranking scale from 0 (no / negative impact) to 4 (ideal / most positive impact) is applied to each criterion. Ranking Scale

Comment sheets are provided to collect public feedback on the evaluation criteria and preliminary evaluation / outcome.

| <b>Technical &amp; Engineering Cr</b>           | iteria  | Social & Cultural Criteria                |   |  |  |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| Impact on City<br>Infrastructure and<br>Utility | Inspection, maintenance, and repair access provided to City-owned infrastructure, notably sanitary and                          | Landowner Impacts                         | Impacts or disturbance to adjacent properties due to construction.<br>Disturbance to private properties when City uses the access road, including potential damage/intrusion beyond easement limit. |  |  |
| Access Feasibility                              | storm sewers.<br>Route accessibility and ease-of-use for maintenance trucks and vehicles.                                       | <b>Property Intrusion</b>                 |   |  |  |
| Lifespan of Works                               | Expected lifespan / years of works before intervention needs to be repeated.  | Aesthetic Value and<br>Community Benefits | Changes to aesthetic value of<br>surrounding lands.   |  |  |
| Physical & Natural Environment                  |   | Economic Criteria                         |   |  |  |
| Terrestrial Habitat and<br>Vegetation           | Improvements or impacts to terrestrial habitat, including loss and replacement of vegetation and natural corridor connectivity. | Capital Costs                             | Detailed design, permitting and construction costs for the proposed wor   |  |  |
| Aquatic Habitat and<br>Fisheries                | Improvements or impacts to fish and aquatic habitat, including substrate, overhanging vegetation, turbidity, and connectivity.  | Life Cycle Costs                          | Anticipated temporary / emergency worl during the lifespan  |  |  |

3. Access Road from Glenwood with A Turn-Around 4. Through Access Road between Glenwood and Peartree

















## Potential Alternative #1 Do Nothing



#### Alternative # 1 – Do Nothing

**Definition:** No formal access road proposed.

**Description:** This alternative would involve leaving the site as-is, allowing for vegetation to continue growing within the easement. Existing constraints limiting vehicle access to perform regular inspection and maintenance, and repairs for the sewer infrastructures will remain. Potential risks associated with sewer blockage, pipe leakage, sewer/manhole exposure would not be identified and addressed.

Although no capital costs have been assigned to this alternative, costs associated with repairs under emergency conditions (i.e., failure) would be incurred.







**Existing Conditions / Do Nothing** 



## **Potential Alternative #2 Access Road from Both Ends with A Turn-Around**



Alternative # 2 – Access Road from Both Ends with A Turn-Around

**Definition:** Constructing a permanent access route from Glenwood Dr with a turnaround ~360m, as well as a temporary access from Peartree Ct to the last manhole within Valley only as required.

**Description:** This alternative would involve constructing the permanent access using vegetated concrete block mats within the City maintained sod area, and riprap within the valley. Temporary wood/steel matting are proposed to protect private backyards when access from Peartree is required. During construction, this option will involve a relatively moderate-high level of disruption to landowners, local residents, and habitat (including existing vegetation). In addition, every time City wishes to access from Peartree, disturbance to the landowners is expected. However, all disrupted areas will be restored with native plantings and seed mixes designed to provide stability and sustainability.

The lifespan of these works are generally defined as long, however, temporary matting and restoration of sodding within private properties will be required following each access from Peartree  $\overline{\mathbf{v}}t$ .







**Temporary Steel Plates** 



**Rock Geogrid Access Road** 



## **Potential Alternative #3 Access Road from Glenwood with A Turn-Around**



Alternative # 3 – Access Road from Glenwood with A Turn-Around

**Definition:** Constructing a permanent access route from Glenwood Dr with a turnaround ~420m to the last manhole within the valley.

**Description:** This alternative would involve constructing the permanent access road primarily using riprap within the Valley, combined with vegetated concrete block mats within the City right of way off Glenwood. Access to all sanitary manholes within the valley will be provided by the limit of existing easement, a turnaround of maximum radius of 7.6m is proposed at the end of the road, which is not considered overly sufficient for large flusher trucks. During construction, this option will involve a relatively level of disruption to landowners, local residents, and habitat (including existing vegetation). However, all disrupted areas will be restored with native plantings and seed mixes designed to provide stability and sustainability.

The lifespan of these works are generally defined as long with minimal maintenance required.







**Rock Geogrid Access Road** 





## **Potential Alternative #4 Through Access Road between Glenwood and Peartree**



Alternative # 4 – A Through Access Road between Glenwood and Peartree

**Definition:** Constructing a permanent through access route from Glenwood Dr to Peartree Ct, 540m.

Description: This alternative would involve constructing the permanent access primarily using riprap within the valley, as well as vegetated concrete block mats within the grassy area at either end. This alternative obviated the need for a turning point within the easement and driving up the steep slope to Glenwood. The proposed vegetated concrete block mats are designed to promote grass growth which will blend into the surrounding lands. During construction, this option will involve a relatively high level of disruption to landowners, local residents, and habitat (including existing vegetation). However, all disrupted areas will be restored with native plantings and seed mixes designed to provide stability and sustainability.

The lifespan of these works are generally defined as long with minimal maintenance required.



**Utility Access Route between Glenwood Drive to Peartree Court Environmental Assessment** 



Vegetated Concrete Block Mats



**Rock Geogrid Access Road** 





## **EVALUATION OF ALTERNATIVES**

The preliminary evaluation of alternatives is presented below, with Alternative 3 selected as the preliminary preferred alternative for access. Your comments on the ranking and preferred method of restoration are encouraged and appreciated. The study team will compile and review all feedback, and will then finalize the selection of preferred alternative for the project.

| E VALUATION C R ITE R IA                        |  | Alternative 1 - Do Nothing |  | Alternative 2 - Access Road from Both Ends<br>with A Turn-Around |  | Alternative 3 - Access Road from Glenwood<br>with A Turn-Around |  | Alternative 4 - Through Access Road between<br>Glenwood and Peartree |  |
|---|--|----------------------------|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|
|   |  | Score                      | Explanation  | Score  | Explanation  | Score   | Explanation  | Score  | Explanation  |
| Technical and<br>Engineering<br>Criteria        | Description of Criteria  | 0.0                        |  | 2.1  |  | 2.3   |  | 2.5  |  |
| Impact on City<br>Infrastructure and<br>Utility | Inspection, maintenance, and<br>repair access provided to City-<br>owned infrastructure, notably<br>sanitary and storm sewers.             | 0                          | Ongoing vegetation growth leading to<br>continued access restrictions to sanitary<br>sewers and manholes           | 4  | Access provided to all manholes with the study area, either permanent or temporary   | 4   | Permanent access provided to all manholes with the study area  | 4  | Permanent access provided to all manholes with the study area  |
| Access Feasibility                              | Route accessibility and ease-of-<br>use for maintenance trucks and<br>vehicles   |                            | No Access Route Constructed  | 3  | All manholes generally accessible, but<br>steep exit incline and tight turnaround<br>radius poses potential accessibility<br>limitations   | 3   | All manholes generally accessible, but<br>steep exit incline and tight turnaround<br>radius poses potential accessibility<br>limitations                       | 4  | Permanent and stable access to all manholes.   |
| Lifespan of Works                               | Expected lifespan of works<br>before intervention needs to be<br>repeated  | 0                          | No access route constructed, repairs will continue on an emergency only basis                                      | 3  | Lifespan of permanent access route is<br>high, with temporary access to be<br>assembled and disassembled as required   | 4   | Lifespan of permanent access route is high.  | 4  | Lifespan of permanent access route is high   |
| Physical and<br>Natural Criteria                | Description of Criteria  | 2.5                        |  | 1.9  |  | 1.3   |  | 1.6  |  |
| Terrestrial Habitat<br>and Vegetation           | Improvements or impacts to<br>terrestrial habitat, including loss<br>and replacement of vegetation<br>and natural corridor connectivity.   | 4                          | No impacts on terrestrial habitat or vegetation  | 3  | Some vegetation loss and impacts on<br>terrestrial habitat along a section of the<br>easement from Glenwood Drive due to<br>access route construction.   | 1   | Most significant vegetation loss and<br>impacts on terrestrial habitat along<br>easement within the valley, due to<br>extended length and the turnaround area. | 2  | Significant vegetation loss and impacts on<br>terrestrial habitat along easement within<br>the valley.   |
| Aquatic Habitat &<br>Fisheries                  | Improvements or impacts to fish<br>and aquatic habitat, including<br>substrate, overhanging<br>vegetation, turbidity, and<br>connectivity. | 4                          | No impact on aquatic & fisheries habitats  | 3  | Minimal impact to aquatic health   | 3   | Minimal impact to aquatic health   | 3  | Minimal impact to aquatic health   |
| Social and<br>Cultural Criteria                 | Description of Criteria  | 2.3                        |  | 0.6  |  | 1.7   |  | 1.0  |  |
| Landowner Impacts                               | Impacts or disturbance to<br>adjacent properties due to<br>construction  | 4                          | No impacts to adjacent landowners  | 1  | Significant disturbance to surrounding<br>property owners due to permanent access<br>route construction, specifically the<br>Peartree Court landowners.  | 2   | Moderate disturbance to surrounding property owners due to permanent access route construction.  | 1  | Significant disturbance to surrounding<br>property owners due to permanent access<br>route construction, specifically the<br>Peartree Court landowners.  |
| Property Intrusion                              | Disturbance to private properties<br>when City uses the access road,<br>including potential<br>damage/intrusion beyond<br>easement limit.  |                            | No impacts to private properties   | 1  | Temporary access poses significant<br>amount and duration of disturbance to<br>Peartree Court landowners. Potential<br>damage to the property beyond easement<br>limit.                            | 3   | Minimal impacts on private lands when<br>using the access road.  | 2  | Some disturbance to the Peartree<br>landowners when using the access road,<br>however no damage to the property is<br>expected.  |
| Aesthetic Values                                | Changes to the aesthetic value<br>of surrounding properties  | 3                          | No long term change to aesthetic value,<br>but emergency access will negatively<br>impact short term aesthetics    | 1  | The permanent access route will not<br>negatively impact the long term aesthetics,<br>however the temporary access will<br>negatively impact short term aesthetics of<br>Peartree Court properties | 3   | The permanent access route will not<br>negatively impact the long term aesthetics<br>of the area.  | 2  | The permanent access route will not<br>negatively impact the long term aesthetics<br>within the valley. The proposed vegetated<br>mats will blend into the existing grassland<br>of Peartree properties, however, concrete<br>blocks will still be visually present. |
| Economic Criteria                               | Description of Criteria  | 1.3                        |  | 1.6  |  | 1.9   |  | 1.6  |  |
| Capital Costs                                   | Detailed design, permitting and<br>construction costs for the<br>proposed works  | 4                          | No capital cost to City  | 3  | 3rd highest costs associated with<br>permanent access route including<br>turnaround  | 2   | 2nd highest costs associated with<br>permanent access route including<br>turnaround  | 1  | Highest costs associated with full-length permanent access route   |
| Life Cycle Costs                                | Anticipated<br>temporary/emergency access<br>during the lifespan   | 0                          | Installation, removal, and restoration of<br>emergency access to any point along the<br>easement whenever required | 2  | Installation, removal, and restoration of temporary access route from Peartree Court whenever required   | 4   | No anticipated temporary or emergency<br>access  | 4  | No anticipated temporary or emergency access   |
|   | TOTAL SCORE  | 6.0                        |  | 6.1  |  | 7.1   | Preliminary Preferred<br>Alternative   | 6.7  |  |











#### PUBLIC CONSULTATION – JUNE - JULY, 2021

### SUBMIT EA PROJECT FILE AND OBTAIN AGENCY APPROVALS – FALL 2021

• EA Project file posted for 30 day review period.

#### **DETAILED DESIGN & IMPLEMENTATION**

- Detailed design and permitting completed by 2021

#### To provide comment, or to be added to the study stakeholder list, please contact:

Mr. Jim Young Manager of Water Distribution and Project Manager Waste Water Collection City of Brantford 324 Grand River Avenue Brantford, ON, N3T 4Y8 Phone: (519) 759-4150 ext. 5302 Jeyoung@brantford.ca

Mr. Rob Amos, P. Eng. **Aquafor Beech Limited** 2600 Skymark Ave., Suite 202, Building 6. Mississauga, L4W 5B2 Phone: (905) 629-0099 ext. 284 Amos.r@aquaforbeech.com

# NEXT STEPS

#### • Receive PIC feedback, incorporate input and update results – www.brantford.ca/UtilityAccessPIC • Compile and review feedback. Confirm or adapt preliminary preferred alternatives.

Construction timing scheduled for Summer - Fall, 2022.



For Participating In Utility Access Route **Between Glenwood Drive To Peartree Court Environmental Assessment Study** 



